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# LOWER BOUNDS FOR SELF-ADJOINT STURM-LIOUVILLE OPERATORS 


#### Abstract

In this note we provide estimates for the lower bound of the selfadjoint operator associated with the three-coefficient Sturm-Liouville differential expression $$
\frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} p \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+q\right)
$$ in the weighted $L^{2}$-Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} ; r d x)$.


## 1. Introduction

One-dimensional Schrödinger operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+q \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a with real-valued potential $q$ have been studied in the mathematical and physical literature intensively in the last century due to their particular importance in quantum mechanics. Typically one is interested in a suitable self-adjoint realization in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and its spectral properties, among them estimates for lower bounds, numbers of negative eigenvalues, and Lieb-Thirring inequalities are particularly important, see, for instance, the recent survey 9 .

The main objective of this note is to derive estimates on the lower bound of more general Sturm-Liouville operators of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} p \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+q\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with real-valued coefficients under the standard assumptions $r, 1 / p, q \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $r, p$ positive almost everywhere. We refer the reader to the textbooks [6], [11], [13], 15], 18, 21], [22, and [23] for an overview and detailed study of SturmLiouville (resp., Schrödinger) operators. The natural Hilbert space in this context is the weighted $L^{2}$-space $L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{R}):=L^{2}(\mathbb{R} ; r d x)$ and under some mild additional assumptions on the coefficients one concludes that $T$ is a semibounded self-adjoint operator in $L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. As mentioned above, lower bounds for the spectrum of $T$ are known for the special case $r=p=1$, that is, $T=H$, and for completeness we provide a straightforward estimate as a warm up in Section 2 .

In the general setting it seems that a systematic study is missing and it is the aim of this note to initiate and contribute to this circle of problems. It is clear that the coefficients $r$ and $p$ have an essential influence on the lower bound. If, for instance, the weight function $r=r_{0}$ is constant and $p=1$ then formally $T=\left(1 / r_{0}\right) H$ and the lower bound $\min \sigma(T)$ of $T$ is simply given by $\left(1 / r_{0}\right) \min \sigma(H)$. This already indicates that for a nonconstant weight function $r$ the $L^{\infty}$-norm of $1 / r$ will appear in the lower bounds, and the situation becomes much more difficult if $1 / r \notin L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, in which case we require the existence of a function $g$ that neutralizes the behaviour of the weight function $r$ on subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ where $r$ is small. Furthermore, the norm of
the coefficient $p$ will enter in lower bound estimates and very roughly speaking $1 / p$ has to be considered in conjunction with the potential $q$. The methods and proofs in this paper are strongly inspired by [2], where bounds on nonreal eigenvalues of indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators are obtained.

## 2. One-dimensional Schrödinger operators

As a warm up we discuss in this short section the special case $p=r=1$ and $q \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ real-valued a.e., $s \in[1, \infty]$, and derive a lower bound for the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator $H$ in (1.1) using the argument presented in [20 (3.5.30), p. 155-156].

We start by recalling that $q \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \in[1, \infty)$, implies that $q$ is relatively form compact with respect to the free Hamiltonian $H_{0}$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0} f=-f^{\prime \prime}, \quad f \in D\left(H_{0}\right)=H^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}), \ell \in[0, \infty)$, the standard scale of Sobolev spaces. This follows from the stronger statement that $|q|^{1 / 2}\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-1 / 2}$ satisfies (see, e.g., [16, Theorem XI.20])

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q|^{1 / 2}\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-1 / 2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \text { if } q \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \in[1, \infty) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{t}(\mathcal{H})$ represent the $\ell^{t}(\mathbb{N})$-based trace ideals of compact operators in the complex, separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q|^{1 / 2}\left(H_{0}+I\right)^{-1 / 2} \text { is compact, } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the form sum $H$ of $H_{0}$ and $q$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and bounded from below. By a result of Hartman [12] and Rellich [17] (see also [11, Theorem 8.5.2]), the boundedness from below of the minimal operator associated with the differential expression $-\left(d^{2} / d x^{2}\right)+q$ implies that the latter is in the limit point case at $\pm \infty$ and hence the maximal operator associated with $-\left(d^{2} / d x^{2}\right)+q$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and thus necessarily coincides with $H$. It is clear that for $s=\infty$ the same is true as $q \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is a bounded perturbation of $H_{0}$. Consequently, $H$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H f=-f^{\prime \prime}+q f \\
& f \in D(H)=\left\{g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g, g^{\prime} \in A C_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) ;\left(-g^{\prime \prime}+q g\right) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Property (2.3) then implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H)=\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(H_{0}\right)=[0, \infty), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence it suffices to consider negative eigenvalues, which turn out to be simple as $-\left(d^{2} / d x^{2}\right)+q$ is in the limit point case at $\pm \infty$. We consider an eigenvalue $\lambda<0$ of $H$ and denote the corresponding eigenfunction by $f_{\lambda}$. From $-f_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}+q f_{\lambda}=\lambda f_{\lambda}$ one concludes

$$
f_{\lambda}=-\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-\lambda\right)^{-1} q f_{\lambda}
$$

and using the corresponding Green's function we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-\lambda}}\left\|e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda \mid} \cdot \mid} * q f_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-\lambda}}\left\|e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda \mid} \cdot \mid}\right\|_{t}\left\|q f_{\lambda}\right\|_{t^{\prime}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Young's inequality ${ }^{1}$ with $1 / t+1 / t^{\prime}=1+1 / 2$ was applied in the last step. Hölder's inequality then yields $\left\|q f_{\lambda}\right\|_{t^{\prime}} \leq\|q\|_{s}\left\|f_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}$ for $1 / t^{\prime}=1 / s+1 / 2$ and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}|\cdot|}\right\|_{t}\|q\|_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{t \sqrt{-\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\|q\|_{s} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $t \in(1, \infty)$, that is, $s \in(1, \infty)$. As $1 / t=1-1 / s$ it follows for $s \in(1, \infty)$ that

$$
(-\lambda)^{\frac{2 s-1}{2 s}} \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{s}}\left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|q\|_{s}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma(H) \geq-2^{-\frac{2}{2 s-1}}\left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^{\frac{2(s-1)}{2 s-1}}\|q\|_{s}^{\frac{2 s}{2 s-1}} \text { for } s \in(1, \infty) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the lower bound (2.7) also remains valid for $s=1$ (indeed, inequality (2.5) and the first inequality in (2.6) apply with $s=1, t=\infty, 1 / t^{\prime}=3 / 2$ ) in which case one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma(H) \geq-(1 / 4)\|q\|_{1}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obviously applies to $s=\infty$, implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma(H) \geq-\|q\|_{\infty} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We mention that the bound 2.7 and 2.8 coincide with [5] Corollary 14.3 .11 and Corollary 14.3.12] and that the above argument also leads to bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials $q \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \in[1, \infty)$ (see, in particular, [1] for the case $s=1$ ). In the context of Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials we also refer, for instance, to [4], [7, [8, and [10].

Remark 2.1. We mention that Lieb-Thirring inequalities (see, e.g., 9], [14 and the extensive literature cited therein) also lead to lower bounds of $H$. More specifically, for $s=3 / 2$ one can compare with the one-particle constant $L_{1}^{(1)}=$ $4 /\left[3^{3 / 2} \pi\right]=0.24503$ in [9, Section 3.2]: The corresponding constant in 2.7) equals $2^{-1} 3^{-1 / 2}=0.28867$. Historically, we note that Barnes, Brascamp, and Lieb 3] derived a lower bound for the ground state energy of (multi-dimensional) Schrödinger operators already in 1976.

## 3. Main Results

Now we consider the general Sturm-Liouville differential expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} p \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+q\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbb{R}$ with real-valued coefficients under the standard assumptions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r, 1 / p, q \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we assume from now on that Hypothesis 3.1 below is satisfied. In the following $L_{\mathrm{u}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the normed space of uniformly locally integrable functions, that is,

$$
L_{\mathrm{u}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{h \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}):\|h\|_{\mathrm{u}}<\infty\right\}, \quad\|h\|_{\mathrm{u}}=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{n}^{n+1}|h(t)| \mathrm{d} t
$$

[^0]Hypothesis 3.1. The real coefficients $p, q$ and $r$ of $\tau$ satisfy the following:
(a) $p(x)>0$ for a. a. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 / p \in L^{\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\eta \in[1, \infty]$;
(b) $q \in L_{\mathrm{u}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$;
(c) $r(x)>0$ for a. a. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a<b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\operatorname{ess} \inf _{t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash[a, b]} r(t)>0 .} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the differential expression $\tau$ is in the limit-point case at both singular endpoints $\pm \infty$, and the corresponding maximal operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T f=\tau f=\frac{1}{r}\left(-\left(p f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q f\right) \\
& f \in D(T)=\left\{g \in L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g, p g^{\prime} \in A C_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) ; \tau g \in L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is self-adjoint in the weighted $L^{2}$-space $L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and semibounded from below; cf. [2, Lemma A.2]. Our main goal is to derive estimates for the lower bound $\min \sigma(T)$ of $T$. For a nonnegative function $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ the set

$$
\Omega_{g}:=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x) g(x)<1\}
$$

and its Lebesgue measure $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right)$ will appear in the lower bound estimates in our main results below. In the particular case $1 / r \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ one can choose $g=1 / r$ and hence $\Omega_{g}$ becomes a Lebesgue null set, which leads to more explicit lower bound estimates. We also mention that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a (constant) nonnegative function $g_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu\left(\Omega_{g_{\varepsilon}}\right)<\varepsilon$; this follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x)<1 / n\}) & =\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x)<1 / n\}\right) \\
& =\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid r(x)=0\})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The first main result requires only minimal assumptions on the potential in Hypothesis 3.1. that is, $q \in L_{\mathrm{u}}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, but we have to assume that $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. We shall denote the negative part of the potential $q$ by $q_{-}$.
Theorem 3.2. In addition to Hypothesis 3.1, assume that $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=2\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}+4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\left(4\|1 / p\|_{\infty} \alpha\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and choose a nonnegative function $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta<1$. Then

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq \frac{-\alpha\|g\|_{\infty}}{1-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta}
$$

and in the special case $1 / r \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ the choice $g=1 / r$ implies $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=0$ and

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq-\left(2\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}+4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}\right)\|1 / r\|_{\infty}
$$

In the next result we consider the case $q_{-} \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \in[1, \infty]$, and $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
Theorem 3.3. In addition to Hypothesis 3.1, assume that $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $q_{-} \in$ $L^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s \in[1, \infty]$, let

$$
\alpha=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s} \beta^{\frac{1}{s}} & \text { if } s \in[1, \infty),  \tag{3.5}\\
\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty} & \text { if } s=\infty,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta= \begin{cases}\left(4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}\right)^{\frac{s}{s-1}} & \text { if } s \in[1, \infty), \\
\left(4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2} & \text { if } s=\infty,\end{cases}\right.
$$

and choose a nonnegative function $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta<1$. Then

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq \frac{-\alpha\|g\|_{\infty}}{1-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta}
$$

and in the special case $1 / r \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ the choice $g=1 / r$ implies $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma(T) \geq-\left(4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s-1}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}^{\frac{2 s}{2 s-1}}\|1 / r\|_{\infty} \quad \text { if } s \in[1, \infty) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s=\infty$ we have

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}\|1 / r\|_{\infty}
$$

Remark 3.4. The bounds in Theorem 3.3 above are not optimal. In fact, in the special case $p=r=1$ and $q_{-} \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s \in[1, \infty)$ the bound in 3.6 becomes

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq \begin{cases}-4\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{1}^{2} & \text { if } s=1 \\ -2^{\frac{2}{2 s-1}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}^{\frac{2 s}{2 s-1}} & \text { if } s \in(1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

while 2.7) (or [5, Corollary 14.3.11 and Corollary 14.3.12]) show that

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq \begin{cases}-\|q\|_{1}^{2} / 4 & \text { if } s=1  \tag{3.7}\\ -2^{-\frac{2}{2 s-1}\left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right)^{\frac{2(s-1)}{2 s-1}}\|q\|_{s}^{\frac{2 s}{2 s-1}}} & \text { if } s \in(1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

$\diamond$
In the following theorem we deal with $q_{-} \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \in[1, \infty]$, and $1 / p \in L^{\eta}(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta \in[1, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.5. In addition to Hypothesis 3.1, assume that $1 / p \in L^{\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\eta \in[1, \infty)$ and $q_{-} \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s \in[1, \infty]$ such that $\eta+s>2$ if $s \neq \infty$. Let $\alpha$ be as in (3.5) and

$$
\beta= \begin{cases}\left(\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}\right)^{\frac{\eta s}{2 \eta s-\eta-s}} & \text { if } s \in[1, \infty)  \tag{3.8}\\ \left(\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{2 \eta-1}} & \text { if } s=\infty\end{cases}
$$

and choose a nonnegative function $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta<1$. Then

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq \frac{-\alpha\|g\|_{\infty}}{1-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta}
$$

and in the special case $1 / r \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ the choice $g=1 / r$ implies $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=0$ and

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq-\left(\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{2 \eta s-\eta-s}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}^{\frac{2 \eta s-s}{2 \eta s-\eta-s}}\|1 / r\|_{\infty} \quad \text { if } s \in[1, \infty)
$$

If $s=\infty$ we have

$$
\min \sigma(T) \geq-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}\|1 / r\|_{\infty}
$$

The following proposition provides a simple condition for nonnegativity of $T$.
Proposition 3.6. Suppose that Hypothesis 3.1 holds and assume that $1 / p, q_{-} \in$ $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. If $\|1 / p\|_{1}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{1}<1$ then $\min \sigma(T) \geq 0$.

Remark 3.7. If the coefficients $p, q$ and $r$ in Hypothesis 3.1 are restricted to the half line $(0, \infty)$ and $T_{+}$denotes the self-adjoint realization of the (restricted) differential expression $\tau$ in $L^{2}((0, \infty))$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the regular endpoint 0 , then the lower bound estimates above remain valid for $T_{+}$. In fact, the Dirichlet boundary condition at 0 ensures that the boundary term in the integration by parts formula for $f \in D\left(T_{+}\right)$vanishes and hence the proofs in the next section (see, e.g. (4.4) extend directly to the half line case.

## 4. Proofs

In this section we prove our main results. It will always be assumed that the coefficients $p, q, r$ satisfy Hypothesis 3.1. The first three items of the following useful statement can be found, for instance, in [2] Lemma A.2]. The last item follows from [2, Lemma A.1] and the first item.

Lemma 4.1. Assume Hypothesis 3.1, then the following assertions hold for all $f, g \in D(T)$ :
(i) $f, \sqrt{p} f^{\prime} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $q f^{2} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$;
(ii) there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow-\infty} x_{n}=-\infty$ such that $\lim _{|n| \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)=0$;
(iii) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}\left(p f^{\prime}\right)(x) \overline{g(x)}=0$.
(iv) $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

For our estimates of the lower bound of $T$ it is convenient to reduce the considerations to the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{-}(T):=\left\{f \in D(T) \mid(T f, f)_{r} \leq 0\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{r}$ stands for the weighted inner product corresponding to $L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The potential $q$ is decomposed in its positive part $q_{+}$and negative part $q_{-}$, i. e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=q_{+}-q_{-}, \quad \text { where } \quad q_{+}:=\frac{|q|+q}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{-}:=\frac{|q|-q}{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Assuming Hypothesis 3.1, every function $f \in D_{-}(T)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|q f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq 2\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the inequality $\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|q_{+} f^{2}\right\|_{1}$ implies $\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=0$.
Proof. For $f \in D_{-}(T)$ integration by parts together with Lemma 4.1 (i) and (iii) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq(T f, f)_{r} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(t)|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|q_{+} f^{2}\right\|_{1}-\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1}$ and $\left\|q_{+} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1}$. Therefore, with $|q|=$ $q_{+}+q_{-}$we have

$$
\left\|q f^{2}\right\|_{1}=\left\|q_{+} f^{2}\right\|_{1}+\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq 2\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1}
$$

If $\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|q_{+} f^{2}\right\|_{1}$ holds, then 4.4 implies $\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=0$.
Lemma 4.3. In addition to Hypothesis 3.1, assume that there are constants $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ and a nonnegative function $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that
(i) $\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq \alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2}$ and $\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \beta\|f\|_{2}^{2}$ for all $f \in D_{-}(T)$;
(ii) $\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta<1$.

Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma(T) \geq \frac{-\alpha\|g\|_{\infty}}{1-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in D_{-}(T)$. Then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\|g\|_{\infty}(f, f)_{r} & =\|g\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(t)|^{2} r(t) \mathrm{d} t \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(t)|^{2} r(t) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash \Omega_{g}}|f(t)|^{2} r(t) g(t) \mathrm{d} t \geq\|f\|_{2}^{2}-\int_{\Omega_{g}}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{4.6}\\
& \geq\|f\|_{2}^{2}-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right)\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} \geq\left(1-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, we have by 4.4

$$
(T f, f)_{r}=\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|q_{+} f^{2}\right\|_{1}-\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \geq-\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \geq-\alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

This together with 4.6 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T f, f)_{r} \geq-\frac{\alpha\|g\|_{\infty}}{1-\mu\left(\Omega_{g}\right) \beta}(f, f)_{r} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the inequality in (4.7) holds also for $f \in D(T) \backslash D_{-}(T)$ and, thus, for all $f \in D(T)$. This implies 4.5)

Next we recall estimates on the $L^{\infty}$-norm of functions in $D(T)$ from [2].
Lemma 4.4. Assume Hypothesis 3.1. Then the following assertions hold for all $f \in D(T)$.
(i) If $1 / p \in L^{\eta}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\eta \in[1, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\infty} \leq\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta} \sqrt{\|1 / p\|_{\eta}}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{2 \eta-1}}\|f\|_{2}^{\frac{\eta-1}{2 \eta-1}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\infty} \leq\left(2 \sqrt{\|1 / p\|_{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\|f\|_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[n, n+1]}|f(t)|^{2} \leq \varepsilon\|1 / p\|_{\infty} \int_{n}^{n+1} p(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\left(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{n}^{n+1}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The estimates (4.8) and (4.9) are proved in [2, Lemma 4.1]. For the convenience of the reader we verify the estimate 4.10, which is a variant of [19] Lemma 9.32]. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for $f \in D(T)$ and $x, y \in[n, n+1]$

$$
|f(x)|^{2}=|f(y)|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{y}^{x} f^{\prime}(t) \overline{f(t)} \mathrm{d} t
$$

By the mean value theorem we can choose $y$ such that $|f(y)|^{2}=\int_{n}^{n+1}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t$. Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $2 \alpha \beta \leq \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we
obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(x)|^{2} \leq & \int_{n}^{n+1}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +2\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{n}^{n+1}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(\|1 / p\|_{\infty} \varepsilon \int_{n}^{n+1} p(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & \varepsilon\|1 / p\|_{\infty} \int_{n}^{n+1} p(t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\left(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{n}^{n+1}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to 4.10.

For the proofs of Theorems $3.2-3.5$ it is no restriction to consider $f \in D_{-}(T) \backslash$ $\{0\}$ and to assume that $q_{-}$is positive on a set of positive Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and consider $\alpha, \beta$ as in (3.4). Choose $\varepsilon=\left(2\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\right)^{-1}>0$. The estimate in 4.10) of Lemma 4.4 yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_{-}(t)|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup _{t \in[n, n+1]}|f(t)|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\varepsilon\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}+2\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}\right)\|f\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Together with Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$
\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=2\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1}-\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

With 4.9 in Lemma 4.4 and 4.11 we see

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq 2 \sqrt{\|1 / p\|_{\infty} \alpha}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\beta\|f\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq \alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and hence Lemma 4.3 leads to the statements in Theorem 3.2

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $1 / p \in L^{\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ and $q_{-} \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\eta, s \in$ $[1, \infty)$ with $\eta+s>2$. Since $\eta+s>2$ we obtain

$$
2 \eta s-\eta-s=\eta(s-1)+s(\eta-1) \geq s-1+\eta-1>0
$$

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in 3.5 and 3.8, respectively. From Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} & \leq\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|q_{-}(t) \| f(t)\right|^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|q_{-}(t)\right|^{s} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}  \tag{4.12}\\
& =\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}}\|f\|_{2^{\frac{2(s-1)}{s}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, together with Lemma 4.4 (i) and Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} & =\left(\frac{\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2(2 \eta-1)}{\eta}}}{\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{s}}}\right)^{\frac{\eta s}{2 \eta s-\eta-s}} \leq\left(\frac{\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}\|f\|_{2}^{\frac{2(\eta-1)}{\eta}}}{\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{s}}}\right)^{\frac{\eta s}{2 \eta s-\eta-s}} \\
& \leq\left(\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}\right)^{\frac{\eta s}{2 \eta s-\eta-s}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\beta\|f\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate from 4.12 yields

$$
\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s} \beta^{\frac{1}{s}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Now consider the case $q_{-} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Choose $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in 3.5 and 3.8, respectively. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4 (i) in combination with Lemma 4.2 and 4.13 leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} & \leq\left(\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{2 \eta-1}}\|f\|_{2}^{\frac{2(\eta-1)}{2 \eta-1}} \\
& \leq\left(\left(\frac{2 \eta-1}{\eta}\right)^{2}\|1 / p\|_{\eta}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{2 \eta-1}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\beta\|f\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now Theorem 3.5 follows from Lemma 4.3
Proof of Theorem 3.3. Consider first the case $1 / p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $q_{-} \in L^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, where $s \in[1, \infty)$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be as in (3.5). Again Hölder's inequality yields 4.12). Lemma 4.4 (ii), 4.12) and Lemma 4.2 imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} & =\left(\frac{\|f\|_{\infty}^{4}}{\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{s}}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2 s-1}} \leq\left(\frac{4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}}{\|f\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{s}}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2 s-1}} \\
& \leq\left(4\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s}\right)^{\frac{s}{2 s-1}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\beta\|f\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying this to the estimate in 4.12 we arrive at

$$
\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{s} \beta^{\frac{1}{s}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\alpha\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and again the statements in Theorem 3.3 follow from Lemma 4.3

The assertion for $1 / p, q_{-} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ follows in a similar way. Consider $\alpha, \beta$ in 3.5. As before 4.13 holds. Lemma 4.4 (ii) in combination with Lemma 4.2 and (4.13) implies

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq 2 \sqrt{\|1 / p\|_{\infty}}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\|f\|_{2} \leq 2 \sqrt{\|1 / p\|_{\infty}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}}\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\beta\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Let $f \in D_{-}(T)$. In the case $1 / p, q_{-} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 (i) yield

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq\|1 / p\|_{1}\left\|\sqrt{p} f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|1 / p\|_{1}\left\|q_{-} f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\|1 / p\|_{1}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{1}\|f\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

If $\|1 / p\|_{1}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{1}<1$, then $\|f\|_{\infty}=0$ and hence $D_{-}(T)=\{0\}$. This implies $(T f, f)_{r} \geq 0$ for all $f \in D(T)$ and hence $\min \sigma(T) \geq 0$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Explicitly, $\|f * g\|_{\alpha} \leq\|f\|_{\beta}\|g\|_{\gamma}, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq \infty, 1+\alpha^{-1}=\beta^{-1}+\gamma^{-1}$.

