

# A note on uniquely 10-colorable graphs

Matthias Kriesell

Department of Mathematics, TU  
Ilmenau, Ilmenau, Germany

## Correspondence

Matthias Kriesell, Department of  
Mathematics, TU Ilmenau, Weimarer  
Straße 25, 98693 Ilmenau, Germany.  
Email: [matthias.kriesell@tu-ilmenau.de](mailto:matthias.kriesell@tu-ilmenau.de)

## Abstract

Hadwiger conjectured that every graph of chromatic number  $k$  admits a clique minor of order  $k$ . Here we prove for  $k \leq 10$ , that every graph of chromatic number  $k$  with a unique  $k$ -coloring (up to the color names) admits a clique minor of order  $k$ . The proof does not rely on the Four Color Theorem.

## KEYWORDS

coloring, clique minor, hadwiger conjecture, kempe-coloring

## Mathematical Subject Classification

05c15, 05c40

A *clique minor* of a (simple, finite, undirected) graph  $G$  is a set of connected, nonempty, pairwise disjoint, pairwise adjacent subsets of  $V(G)$ , where a set  $A \subseteq V(G)$  is *connected* if  $G[A]$  is connected, and disjoint  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$  are *adjacent* if there exists an edge  $xy \in E(G)$  with  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . An *anticlique* of  $G$  is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices, and a *Kempe-coloring* of a graph  $G$  is a partition  $\mathcal{C}$  into anticliques such that any two of them induce a connected subgraph in  $G$ . In particular,

for  $A \neq B$  from  $\mathcal{C}$ , every vertex from  $A$  has a neighbor in  $B$ .

(\*)

The following facts are implicit in Section 4 from [2]. We add proofs for the sake of completeness. The *order* of a coloring as above is  $|\mathcal{C}|$

**Lemma 1** (Kriesell [2]). *Every graph  $G$  with a Kempe-coloring of order  $k$  satisfies  $|E(G)| \geq (k-1)|V(G)| - \binom{k}{2}$ , with equality if and only if every pair of members of every Kempe-coloring of order  $k$  induces a tree.*

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2021 The Authors. *Journal of Graph Theory* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Kempe-coloring of order  $k$  of  $G$  and  $A \neq B$  from  $\mathcal{C}$ ; then  $|E(G[A \cup B])| \geq |A| + |B| - 1$  since  $G[A \cup B]$  is a connected graph on  $|A| + |B|$  vertices, with equality if and only if  $G[A \cup B]$  is a tree. Since  $G[A \cup B]$  and  $G[A' \cup B']$  are edge-disjoint for  $\{A, B\} \neq \{A', B'\}$  we get  $|E(G)| = \sum |E(G[A \cup B])| \geq \sum (|A| + |B| - 1)$ , where the sums are taken over all subsets  $\{A, B\}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $A \neq B$ . Since every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  occurs in exactly  $k - 1$  of these sets, the latter sum equals  $(k - 1)|V(G)| - \binom{k}{2}$ , with equality if and only if every two members of  $\mathcal{C}$  induce a tree, which proves the statement for  $\mathcal{C}$ . As the latter bound is independent from the actual  $\mathcal{C}$ , equality holds for  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if it holds for *all* Kempe-colorings of order  $k$ , which proves the Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** (Kriesell [2]). *Every graph with a Kempe-coloring of order  $k$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Kempe-coloring of order  $k$  of a graph  $G$ . Then  $|V(G)| > k - 1$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a separating vertex set  $T$  with  $|T| < k - 1$ . Then there exist  $A \neq B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $(A \cup B) \cap T = \emptyset$ ; since  $G[A \cup B]$  is connected,  $A \cup B \subseteq V(C)$  for some component  $C$  of  $G - T$ . Now take any  $x \in V(G) \setminus (T \cup V(C))$ . Then  $x$  is contained in some  $Z \in \mathcal{C}$  distinct from  $A$  (and  $B$ ), but, obviously,  $x$  cannot have a neighbor in  $A$ , contradicting (\*).  $\square$

An  $(H, k)$ -cockade is recursively defined as any graph isomorphic to  $H$  or any graph that can be obtained by taking the union of two  $(H, k)$ -cockades whose intersection is a complete graph on  $k$  vertices. The following is the main result from [3].

**Theorem 1** [Song and Thomas [3]]. *Every graph with  $n > 8$  vertices and at least  $7n - 27$  edges has a clique minor of order 9, unless it is isomorphic to  $K_{2,2,2,3,3}$  or a  $(K_{1,2,2,2,2,2}, 6)$ -cockade.*

Now we are prepared to prove the main statement of this note.

**Theorem 2.** *Every graph with a Kempe-coloring of order 10 has a clique minor of order 10.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \neq B$  be two color classes of a Kempe-coloring  $\mathcal{C}$  of order 10 of a graph  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C} \setminus \{A, B\}$  is a Kempe-coloring of  $G' := G - (A \cup B)$ , of order 8. By Lemma 1,  $G'$  is a graph on  $n' \geq 8$  vertices with at least  $7n' - 28$  edges.

If  $n' = 8$  then  $V(G')$  is a clique of order 8, and, for every  $x \in V(G')$ ,  $G[\{x\} \cup A]$  and  $G[\{x\} \cup B]$  are stars centered at  $x$ ; therefore, if  $ab$  is any edge in  $G[A \cup B]$ ,  $V(G') \cup \{a, b\}$  is a clique of order 10. So we may assume that  $n' \geq 9$ .

Now let  $z$  be a leaf of any spanning tree of  $G[A \cup B]$  or, equivalently, such that  $G[(A \cup B) \setminus \{z\}]$  is connected. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $z \in A$ , otherwise we swap the roles of  $A, B$ . Every  $C \in \mathcal{C}'$  contains a neighbor  $x_C$  of  $z$  in  $G$  by (\*). If these eight vertices form a clique then one checks readily that  $\{\{x_C\}: C \in \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \{\{z\}, (A \cup B) \setminus \{z\}\}$  is a clique minor in  $G$  of order 10 (every vertex  $x_C$  has a neighbor in  $B \subseteq (A \cup B) \setminus \{z\}$  by (\*)). Therefore, we may assume that  $z$  has two distinct nonadjacent neighbors  $x, y$  in  $V(G')$ .

If  $G' + xy$  has a clique minor  $\mathfrak{K}$  of order 9 then we may assume without loss of generality that  $x$  is contained in some member  $Q$  of  $\mathfrak{K}$ , as  $G' + xy$  is connected. Consequently,  $(\mathfrak{K} \setminus \{Q\}) \cup \{Q \cup \{z\}, (A \cup B) \setminus \{z\}\}$  is a clique minor of  $G$  of order ten (no matter whether  $Q$  contains  $y$  or not).

Hence we may assume that  $G' + xy$  has no clique minor of order 9. As  $G' + xy$  has at least  $n' \geq 9$  vertices and at least  $7n' - 27$  edges, we know that  $G' + xy$  is one of the exceptional graphs in Theorem 1. By Lemma 2,  $G'$  is 7-connected. Therefore,  $G' + xy$  is 7-connected; consequently, it cannot be the union of two graphs on more than 6 vertices each, meeting in less than seven vertices. It follows that  $G' + xy$  is isomorphic to either  $K_{2,2,2,3,3}$  or  $K_{1,2,2,2,2,2}$ , and  $n' = 11$  or  $n' = 12$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the set of single-vertex-sets in  $\mathcal{C}'$ . From  $n' \geq |\mathfrak{B}| + 2(8 - |\mathfrak{B}|)$  we infer  $|\mathfrak{B}| \geq 16 - n'$ , and, as  $G[P \cup Q]$  is a star centered at the only vertex from  $P$  for all  $P \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{C}' \setminus \{P\}$ , every vertex from  $\bigcup \mathfrak{B}$  is adjacent to all others of  $G'$ . Consequently,  $G'$  — and hence  $G' + xy$  — has at least  $16 - n' \geq 4$  many vertices adjacent to all others. However,  $K_{2,2,2,3,3}$  has no vertex adjacent to all others, and  $K_{1,2,2,2,2,2}$  has only one, a contradiction, proving the Theorem.  $\square$

We may replace 10 in Theorem 2 by any nonnegative  $k < 10$ : Suppose that  $G$  has a Kempe-coloring  $\mathcal{C}$  of order  $k$  and consider the graph  $G^+$  obtained from  $G$  by adding new vertices  $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{10}$  and all edges from  $a_i, i \in \{k+1, \dots, 10\}$  to any other vertex  $x \in V(G) \cup \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{10}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}^+ := \mathcal{C} \cup \{\{a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_{10}\}\}$  is a Kempe-coloring of  $G^+$  of order 10. By Theorem 1,  $G^+$  has a clique minor  $\mathfrak{K}$ , and, as every  $a_i$  is contained in at most one member of  $\mathfrak{K}$ , the sets of  $\mathfrak{K}$  not containing any of  $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{10}$  form a clique minor of order at least  $k$  of  $G$ .

A  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  is a partition of  $V(G)$  into at most  $k$  anticliques, and the *chromatic number*  $\chi(G)$  is the minimum number  $k$  so that  $G$  admits a  $k$ -coloring. (Observe that if a graph  $G$  has a unique  $k$ -coloring then it has no  $(k-1)$ -coloring unless it is a complete graph on less than  $k$  vertices, so that, up to these exceptions,  $\chi(G) = k$ ).

Hadwiger conjectured that every graph of chromatic number  $k$  admits a clique minor of order  $k$  [1]. From Theorem 2 we infer the following.

**Theorem 3.** *For  $k \leq 10$ , every graph of chromatic number  $k$  with a unique  $k$ -coloring admits a clique minor of order  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the unique  $k$ -coloring of  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Kempe-coloring of order  $k$  (cf. [2]), and the statement follows from Theorem 2.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Hadwiger, *Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe*, Vierteljahresschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich **88** (1943), 133–142.
2. M. Kriesell, *Unique colorability and clique minors*, J. Graph Theory **85** (2017), 207–216.
3. Z. Song and R. Thomas, *The extremal function for  $K_9$  minors*, J. Comb. Theory B **96** (2006), 240–252.

**How to cite this article:** M. Kriesell, *A note on uniquely 10-colorable graphs*, J. Graph Theory 2021;98:24–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22679>