

## ON ONE SYSTEMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROBLEM OF ALLOCATION

A.A. SALPAGAROVA / P.I. TEMIRBULATOV

Problem of discrete programming in conditions of multicriterial is considered. Set of work, being subject to fulfilment, is available. Are certain also: set of the executors; set, machines; set of resources (materials, semifinished items and etc.) and other set. As a functional complex name set, which will be formed, when on one representative of each of the specified sets is nominated to one working place. The allowable decision of a examined problem represents set of not crossed complexes provided that, for each working place one functional complex is certain in the accuracy.

The elementary case of a formulated above problem is known under the name " a problem about purposes " [1], when the complex is defined as a pair " an executor - working place ". In case of three-element complexes we come to a problem about three-combinations. In a general case the complex consists from  $m$  of elements and the problem of formation of  $m$ -element complexes is formulated on the  $m$ -colour column.

For the mathematical formulation of examined problems used the following designations:  $G = (V, E)$  -  $n$ -toped of the columns, in which to each edge  $a \in \mathring{A}$  are attributed of weight  $w_v(e) \geq 0, v=1,2,\dots, N$ ;  $V_k$  - a subset of tops  $v \in V$ , painted in colour  $k, k = \overline{1, m}$ . In a general case the allowable decision of a problem is such subcolumn  $\tilde{\delta} \in (V, E_x), E_x \in E$ , in which each component of connecting is a complete  $t$ -toped subcolumn, the tops of which are painted in various colours and thus for given whole  $\tau$  condition  $2 \leq t \leq \tau$  is carried out;  $X = X(G) = \{x\}$  - set of all allowable decisions (SAD) on given to column  $G$ . In a case  $\tau=2$  we receive a problem about pair-combinations on  $m$ - the colour column; in a case  $t = \tau = 3$  we receive a problem about three-combinations on the  $m$ -colour column. On SAD  $\tilde{O}$  vector-objective function (VOF) is certain

$$F(x) = (F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_N(x)) \quad (1)$$

$$F(x) = \sum_{e \in E_x} w(x) \rightarrow \min, v=1,2,\dots, N_1, N_1 \leq N, \quad (2)$$

$$F(x) = \max_{e \in E} w(x) \rightarrow \min, v=N_1 + 1, N_1 + 2, \dots, N. \quad (3)$$

VOF (1) with criteria of a kind MINSUM (2) and MINMAX (3) at  $N \geq 2$  determines paret set  $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{O}$  [1]. "The best" decision gets out of complete set of alternatives (CSA)  $\tilde{O}^0$ . CSA such subset  $X^0 \subseteq \tilde{X}$  Refers to as which has the minimum capacity  $|\tilde{O}_0|$  at fulfilment of a condition  $F(X^0) = F(\tilde{X})$  [1].

It is accepted to speak, that the examined problem has properties of completeness (cvazycompleteness), if for any column  $G = (V, E)$  will be such meanings of weights  $w_v(e)$ ,  $v=1,2,\dots, m$ ,  $e \in E$ , at which equality  $\tilde{O}^0 = \tilde{X} = \tilde{O}$  are carried out (parities  $\tilde{O}^0 = \tilde{X} = \tilde{O}$  are carried out). In [1] is shown, that the problem about pair-combinations on one-colour (i.e. ordinary) column is complete, if in (2) meaning  $N_1 \geq 2$ . We shall formulate the following integration of this result

The theorem 1. At any  $m \geq 1$  and  $N_1 \geq 2$  problems about 2- and 3-combinations are complete.

The theorem 2. If  $N_1 \leq 1$ , at any  $m \geq 1$  and any even  $n \geq 8$  two-criteria the problem about pair-combinations on m-colour n-toped to the column is cvazycompleteness.

The theorem 3. If  $N_1 \leq 1$ , at any  $m \geq 2$  and any  $n \geq 9$ , divisible 3, the problem about three-combinations on m-colour n-toped to the column is cvazycompleteness.

Through  $\mu_2(n, m)$ ,  $\mu_3(n, m)$  we shall designate the maximum capacity SAD according to problems about 2- and 3-combinations on m-colour n-toped column. From the theorem 3 follows, that in case of fulfilment of property of completeness as the bottom estimation of computing complexity of a finding CSA for a examined problem it is possible to accept meaning of the maximum capacity  $(q(n, m))$ ,  $q = \overline{2,3}$ . In this connection we result the exact formulas of calculation  $\mu_q(n, m)$ .

The theorem 4. For problems about combinations the exact formulas are correct:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(n, 3) &= \left[ \binom{n/3}{n/6} \left( \frac{n}{6} \right)! \right]^3, & \text{at } n, \text{ divisible two;} \\ \mu_3(n, 3) &= (1!)^2, \quad 1 = n/3, & \text{n is divisible 3;} \\ \mu_3(n, 4) &= \left[ \binom{n/4}{n/12} \binom{n/6}{n/12}^4 \left( \frac{n}{12} \right)! \right]^8, & \text{n is divisible 12.} \end{aligned}$$

## THE LITERATURE

1. Emelichev V.À., Perepeliza V.À. Complexity discretic many-criterials of problems //Discretic mathematics.-1994.- V.6.