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The idea to calculate solutions of boundary value problems by using finite differences is very old. Sixty years ago first attempts were made to consider solutions of discrete CauchyRiemann equations as a class of discrete analytic functions. We refer for instance to [1], [2], [4], [10], [13] and [14]. In order to establish a discrete function theory some main problems are to overcome: We need a discrete analogue of the Cauchy integral and we are looking for a factorization of the two-dimensional real Laplacian into two adjoint Cauchy-Riemann operators. Furthermore we are confronted with the problem, that discrete analytic functions do not form an algebra with respect to the usual complex multiplication. These are reasons, why there was no essential progress in discrete function theories over a long period of time. A series of work in Clifford analysis has shown, that a commutative algebra is not necessary to adapt function theoretic methods to the solution of boundary value problems. We find a survey and a collection of examples in [5] and [15]. These works were inspired by analogous ideas in the field of discrete potential theory. Main results on this field are published in [16] and [3] and later in [11].
In the following we define difference operators that realise the factorization of the real Laplacian into two adjoint Cauchy-Riemann operators. Based on the existence of a discrete fundamental solution we define a discrete version of the $T$-operator, that is right-inverse to the discrete Cauchy-Riemann operator. In relation with this operator a discrete Borel-Pompeiu formula is presented. Furthermore a decomposition of the space $l_{2}$ into the space of discrete analytic functions and its orthogonal complement is possible. By introducing the orthoprojectors $P_{h}^{+}$and $Q_{h}^{+}$we can prove properties that guarantee the existence and uniqueness for the solution of discrete Stokes problems. In addition we state a problem that is equivalent to the Navier-Stokes problem and can be used in an iteration procedure to describe the solution of the discrete Navier-Stokes equation. For a special case of the Navier-Stokes equations we are able to calculate discrete potential and stream functions. The adapted model includes important algebraical properties and can immediately be used for numerical calculations.

## 1. Approximation of the Cauchy-Riemann Operators in the Complex Plane

Let $\square^{2}$ be the 2-dimensional Euclidean space with the unit vectors $b_{1}=(1,0)$ and $b_{2}=(0,1)$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be an arbitrary element of this space. We are looking for a discretization of the Cauchy-Riemann operators $D^{1}=(-i)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)$ and $D^{2}=i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)$. An equidistant lattice with the mesh width $h>0$ is defined by $\square_{h}^{2}=\left\{m h=\left(m_{1} h, m_{2} h\right)\right\}$ with $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \square$. We consider complex valued functions $f(m h)=\operatorname{Re} f(m h)+i \operatorname{Im} f(m h)=\left(f_{0}(m h), f_{1}(m h)\right)$ and introduce forward differences $D_{h}^{j} f_{k}(m h)=h^{-1}\left(f_{k}\left(m h+h b_{j}\right)-f_{k}(m h)\right)$ and backward differences $\quad D_{h}^{-j} f_{k}(m h)=h^{-1}\left(f_{k}(m h)-f_{k}\left(m h-h b_{j}\right)\right) \quad$ for $\quad j \in\{1,2\}$ and $k \in\{0,1\}$. The Cauchy-Riemann operators can be approximated with the difference operators

$$
D_{h, 1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{h}^{-2} & D_{h}^{1} \\
-D_{h}^{-1} & D_{h}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \quad D_{h, 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{h}^{2} & -D_{h}^{1} \\
D_{h}^{-1} & D_{h}^{-2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

These difference operators have the important property

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{h, 1} D_{h, 2}=D_{h, 2} D_{h, 1}=I_{2} \Delta_{h}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{2}$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix and the discrete Laplace operator is defined by $\Delta_{h} u_{h}(m h)=\sum_{k \in K} a_{k} u_{h}(m h-k h) \quad$ with $\quad K=\{(0,0),(-1,0),(1,0),(0,-1),(0,1)\} \quad$ and $a_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}1 / h^{2} & \text { for } k \in K, k \neq(0,0) \\ -4 / h^{2} & \text { for } k=(0,0) .\end{array} \quad\right.$ For other approximations of the Cauchy-Riemann operator we refer to [2], [4], [13] and [14].

## 2. Discrete Fundamental Solution

Each $2 \times 2$-matrix $E_{h}^{j}(m h)$, which is a solution of the system $D_{h, j} E_{h}^{j}(m h)=I_{2} \delta(m h)$ with $j \in\{1,2\}$ is called discrete fundamental solution. In this notation the discrete CauchyRiemann operator acts on each column of $E_{h}^{j}(m h)$. We obtain the following representation formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{h}^{1}(m h)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{h} F\left(\zeta_{-2}^{h} / d^{2}\right) & R_{h} F\left(-\zeta_{-1}^{h} / d^{2}\right) \\
R_{h} F\left(-\zeta_{1}^{h} / d^{2}\right) & R_{h} F\left(-\zeta_{2}^{h} / d^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \\
& E_{h}^{2}(m h)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{h} F\left(-\zeta_{2}^{h} / d^{2}\right) & R_{h} F\left(\zeta_{-1}^{h} / d^{2}\right) \\
R_{h} F\left(\zeta_{1}^{h} / d^{2}\right) & R_{h} F\left(\zeta_{-2}^{h} / d^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{h} u$ is the restriction of a function $u$ to $\square_{h}^{2}, F$ is the classical Fourier transform, $\zeta_{-j}^{h}=h^{-1}\left(1-e^{-i \operatorname{l} \zeta_{j}}\right), \zeta_{j}^{h}=h^{-1}\left(1-e^{i \operatorname{h} \zeta_{j}}\right)$ with $\zeta_{j} \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right)$ and $d^{2}=\zeta_{-1}^{h} \zeta_{1}^{h}+\zeta_{-2}^{h} \zeta_{2}^{h}$. For the details of the proof and the properties of the discrete fundamental solution we refer to [6].

## 3. Right Inverse Operator and the Discrete Borel-Pompeiu Formula

We consider a bounded domain $G \subset \square^{2}$ and denote by $G_{h}=G \cap \square{ }_{h}^{2}$ the discrete domain. Using the notation $K=\left\{k_{1}=(1,0), k_{2}=(0,1), k_{3}=(-1,0)\right.$ and $\left.k_{4}=(0,-1)\right\}$ we define the discrete boundary $\gamma_{h}^{-}=\left\{r h \in \square_{h}^{2} \backslash G_{h}: \exists k_{i}\right.$ with $\left.\left(r+k_{i}\right) h \in G_{h}, i=1, \ldots, 4\right\}$. Often the boundary $\gamma_{h}^{-}$is split into the parts $\gamma_{h i}^{-}=\left\{r h \in \gamma_{h}^{-}:\left(r+k_{i}\right) h \in G_{h}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Furthermore let $\Gamma_{s j}=\left\{l h \in \square_{h}^{2} \backslash\left(G_{h} \cup \gamma_{h}^{-}\right):\left(l+k_{j}\right) h \in \gamma_{h s}^{-}\right.$and $\left.\left(l+k_{s}\right) h \in \gamma_{h}^{-}\right\}$with $s, j \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ be outer corners. The boundary values are set to be zero on $\Gamma_{s j}$ because these corners do not play any role for solving discrete Cauchy-Riemann problems. We only need these outer corners in order to describe discrete tangential derivatives. As a discrete analogue to the $T$-operator we define $\left(T_{h}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=\left(\left(T_{h 1}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h),\left(T_{h 2}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)\right)$. The components of the operator $T_{h}^{1}$ have the structure $\left(T_{h k}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=\left(T_{h k}^{1, G}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)+\left(T_{h k}^{1, \gamma_{\bar{h}}}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h) \quad$ with

$$
\left(T_{h k}^{1, G}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=\sum_{l h \in G_{h}} h^{2}\binom{E_{h k 1}^{1}(m h-l h)}{E_{h k 2}^{1}(m h-l h)}^{T}\binom{f_{0}(l h)}{f_{1}(l h)} \text { and }
$$

where in the union of boundary parts $\gamma_{h i}^{-}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ inner corners are counted only once and $E_{h k j}^{1}$ are the matrix components of $E_{h}^{1}$.
Theorem 1: For functions $f(m h)=\left(f_{0}(m h), f_{1}(m h)\right)$ with $m h \in G_{h}$ it can be proved that

$$
D_{h, 1}\left(T_{h}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=f(m h) .
$$

For the proof we refer to [12]. We remark, that the summand $T_{h k}^{1, r_{\bar{\prime}}}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]$ is only added in order to get a special structure of the discrete Borel-Pompeiu formula. The summation runs over boundary points and the factor $h^{2}$ causes that this summand of the operator $T_{h}^{1}$ tends more quickly to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$ than $T_{h k}^{1, G}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]$. In a similar way we can define an operator $T_{h}^{2}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right](m h)$ such that $D_{h, 2}\left(T_{h}^{2}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=f(m h)$. For the details we refer to [7].
Now we present a discrete version of the Borel-Pompeiu formula. In order to describe normal unit vectors we use the homeomorphism between complex numbers $a+i b$ and matrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & -b \\ b & a\end{array}\right)$. On the boundary parts $\gamma_{h j}^{-}, j=1, \ldots, 4$ we define $\left(\begin{array}{ll}n_{1}^{j} & n_{2}^{j} \\ n_{3}^{j} & n_{4}^{j}\end{array}\right)$ by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}n_{1}^{1} & n_{2}^{1} \\ n_{3}^{1} & n_{4}^{1}\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{ll}n_{1}^{3} & n_{2}^{3} \\ n_{3}^{3} & n_{4}^{3}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}n_{1}^{2} & n_{2}^{2} \\ n_{3}^{2} & n_{4}^{2}\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{ll}n_{1}^{4} & n_{2}^{4} \\ n_{3}^{4} & n_{4}^{4}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

We introduce the boundary operator $\left(F_{h}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=\left(\left(F_{h 1}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h),\left(F_{h 2}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)\right)$ with the components $\left(F_{h k}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=\left(F_{h k}^{1, \gamma_{\bar{h}}}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)+\left(F_{h k}^{1, \gamma_{\bar{\prime}}}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)$. In detail the summands are the terms

$$
\left(F_{h k}^{1, \gamma_{\overline{-}}}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=i \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sum_{l h \in \gamma_{l j}^{\prime}} h\binom{E_{h k k}^{1}(m h-l h)}{E_{h k 2}^{1}(m h-l h)}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n_{1}^{j} & n_{2}^{j} \\
n_{3}^{j} & n_{4}^{j}
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{0}(l h)}{f_{1}(l h)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F_{h k}^{1, \gamma_{1}^{-}}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=-i \sum_{l h \in \gamma_{\bar{\prime}} \wedge \gamma_{h 4}^{-}} h\binom{E_{h k 1}^{1}(m h-l h)}{0}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{1}^{1}+n_{1}^{4} & n_{2}^{1}+n_{2}^{4} \\
n_{3}^{1}+n_{3}^{4} & n_{4}^{1}+n_{4}^{4}
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{0}(l h)}{f_{1}(l h)} \\
& -i \sum_{l h \gamma_{h_{2}} \gamma_{h \bar{G}}^{\prime}} h\binom{0}{E_{h k 2}^{1}(m h-l h)}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{3} & n_{2}^{2}+n_{2}^{3} \\
n_{3}^{2}+n_{3}^{3} & n_{4}^{2}+n_{4}^{3}
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{0}(l h)}{f_{1}(l h)} \\
& -i \sum_{l h \in \gamma \gamma_{11}^{1} \beta \gamma_{h 2}^{\overline{1}}} h\binom{E_{h k k}^{1}(m h-l h)}{E_{h k 2}^{1}(m h-l h)}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{1}^{1}+n_{1}^{2} & n_{2}^{1}+n_{2}^{2} \\
n_{3}^{1}+n_{3}^{2} & n_{4}^{1}+n_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{0}(l h)}{0} \\
& -i \sum_{l h \in \gamma_{h 3}^{-} \cap \gamma_{h 4}^{-}} h\binom{E_{h k 1}^{1}(m h-l h)}{E_{h k 2}^{1}(m h-l h)}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{1}^{3}+n_{1}^{4} & n_{2}^{3}+n_{2}^{4} \\
n_{3}^{3}+n_{3}^{4} & n_{4}^{3}+n_{4}^{4}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{f_{1}(l h)} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2: The Borel-Pompeiu formula has the coordinate-wise structure

$$
\left(T_{h k}^{1}\left[D_{h}^{-2} f_{0}+D_{h}^{1} f_{1},-D_{h}^{-1} f_{0}+D_{h}^{2} f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)+\left(F_{h k}^{1}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)=f_{k-1}(m h) \chi_{k-1}, \quad k=1,2
$$

with $\chi_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & \forall m h \in G_{h} \cup \gamma_{h 1}^{-} \cup \gamma_{h 2}^{-} \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{array}\right.$ and $\chi_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & \forall m h \in G_{h} \cup \gamma_{h 3}^{-} \cup \gamma_{h 4}^{-} \\ 0 & \text { else. }\end{array}\right.$

In a similar way a Borel-Pompeiu formula can be proved, which is based on the operators $T_{h}^{2}$ and $F_{h}^{2}$. For the details we refer to [7].

## 4. Orthogonal Decomposition of the Space $l_{2}\left(G_{h}\right)$

Let $l_{2}\left(G_{h}\right)$ be the space of functions $w(m h)=\left(w_{0}(m h), w_{1}(m h)\right)$ and $v(m h)=\left(v_{0}(m h), v_{1}(m h)\right)$ with the scalar product $\langle w, v\rangle=\sum_{m h \in G_{h}} h^{2}\binom{w_{0}(m h)}{w_{1}(m h)}^{T}\binom{v_{0}(m h)}{v_{1}(m h)}$. If for fixed mesh width $h$ in all points $m h \in G_{h}$ not only the values $w(m h)$ and $v(m h)$ are defined but also the difference quotients $D_{h}^{ \pm j} w_{i}(m h)$ and $D_{h}^{ \pm j} v_{i}(m h)$ for $j \in\{1,2\}$ and $i \in\{0,1\}$ and the condition $w(r h)=$ $v(r h)=(0,0)$ is fulfilled for all $r h \in \gamma_{h}^{-}$then we denote this space of functions by $\dot{w}_{2}^{1}\left(G_{h}\right)$.

Theorem 3: We get the orthogonal decomposition $l_{2}\left(G_{h}\right)=\operatorname{ker} D_{h, 1}\left(G_{h}\right) \oplus D_{h, 2}\left(w_{2}^{1}\left(G_{h}\right)\right)$.

For the proof we refer to [8]. Similar to the continuous case we call functions in the kernel of the operator $D_{h, 1}$ discrete analytic functions. Based on the orthogonal decomposition in

Theorem 3 we denote the orthoprojectors on $\operatorname{ker} D_{h, 1}\left(G_{h}\right)$ or $D_{h, 2}\left(w_{2}^{1}\left(G_{h}\right)\right)$ by $P_{h}^{+}$or $Q_{h}^{+}$, respectively. For all $m h \in G_{h}$ we write $Q_{h}^{+}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right](m h)=\left(\left(Q_{h 1}^{+}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h),\left(Q_{h 2}^{+}\left[f_{0}, f_{1}\right]\right)(m h)\right)$.

## 5. Discrete Stokes- and Navier-Stokes Problems in the Plane

We consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{h} u_{0}(m h)+\frac{1}{\mu} D_{h}^{1} p(m h) & =\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{0}(m h) & & \forall m h \in G_{h} \\
-\Delta_{h} u_{1}(m h)+\frac{1}{\mu} D_{h}^{2} p(m h) & =\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{1}(m h) & & \forall m h \in G_{h}  \tag{2}\\
D_{h}^{-1} u_{0}(m h)+D_{h}^{-2} u_{1}(m h) & =\varphi(m h) & & \forall m h \in G_{h} \\
u(r h)=\left(u_{0}(r h), u_{1}(r h)\right) & =\left(\psi_{0}(r h), \psi_{1}(r h)\right) & & \forall r h \in \gamma_{h}^{-},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the density, $\mu$ the viscosity, $p$ the pressure of the fluid, $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are the vector components of the exterior forces, $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are the velocity components of the fluid inside the domain, $\varphi(m h)$ is a measure for the compressibility of the fluid and $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$ are the velocity components on the boundary.

Theorem 4: The boundary value problem (2) with $\varphi(m h)=0 \forall m h \in G_{h}$ and $\psi_{0}(r h)=\psi_{1}(r h)=0 \quad \forall r h \in \gamma_{h}^{-} \quad$ has for each right-hand side $\quad f(m h)=\left(f_{0}(m h), f_{1}(m h)\right)$ $\in l_{2}\left(G_{h}\right) \quad a \quad$ unique solution $\quad u(m h)=\left(u_{0}(m h), u_{1}(m h)\right)$. The pressure $p(m h) \in$ $\left(l_{2}\left(G_{h}\right) \cup \gamma_{h 3}^{-} \cup \gamma_{h 4}^{-}\right)$is unique up to a constant.

For the proof we refer to [8]. We remark that the system (2) is only solvable, if a necessary condition between $\varphi(m h)$ and $\psi(r h)$ is fulfilled.
Based on the Stokes problem we will present a possibility to solve the Navier-Stokes equations

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{h} u_{0}(m h)+\frac{1}{\mu} D_{h}^{1} p(m h)+\frac{\rho}{\mu}\left(u_{0}(m h) D_{h}^{-1} u_{0}(m h)+u_{1}(m h) D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}(m h)\right. & =\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{0}(m h) \\
-\Delta_{h} u_{1}(m h)+\frac{1}{\mu} D_{h}^{2} p(m h)+\frac{\rho}{\mu}\left(u_{0}(m h) D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}(m h)+u_{1}(m h) D_{h}^{-2} u_{1}(m h)\right. & =\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{1}(m h)  \tag{3}\\
D_{h}^{-1} u_{0}(m h)+D_{h}^{-2} u_{1}(m h) & =0 \\
u(r h) & =(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $m h \in G_{h}$ and $r h \in \gamma_{h}^{-}$. In order to simplify the notation we substitute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{h 0}(m h)=\frac{\rho}{\mu}\left(u_{0}(m h) D_{h}^{-1} u_{0}(m h)+u_{1}(m h) D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}(m h)-\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{0}(m h)\right. \\
& M_{h 1}(m h)=\frac{\rho}{\mu}\left(u_{0}(m h) D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}(m h)+u_{1}(m h) D_{h}^{-2} u_{1}(m h)-\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{1}(m h) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5: The boundary value problem (3) is equivalent to the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(m h) & =\left(T_{h}^{2} Q_{h}^{+} T_{h}^{1}\left[M_{h 0}, M_{h 1}\right]\right)(m h)+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(T_{h}^{2} Q_{h}^{+}[0, p]\right)(m h) \\
-\left(Q_{h 2}^{+} T_{h}^{1}\left[M_{h 0}, M_{h 1}\right]\right)(m h) & =\frac{1}{\mu}\left(Q_{h 2}^{+}[0, p]\right)(m h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is published in [8]. Based on Theorem 5 an iteration procedure can be established in order to calculate the solution of the problem (3):

Theorem 6: Let $\left(u_{0}^{0}(m h), u_{1}^{0}(m h)\right) \in w_{2}^{1}\left(G_{h}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker} \operatorname{div} v_{h}^{-}$with div$v_{h}^{-} u(m h)=D_{h}^{-1} u_{0}(m h)+D_{h}^{-2} u_{1}(m h)$. The iteration procedure

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{n}(m h)=\left(T_{h}^{2} Q_{h}^{+} T_{h}^{1}\left[M_{h 0}^{n-1}, M_{h 1}^{n-1}\right]\right)(m h)+\frac{1}{\mu}\left(T_{h}^{2} Q_{h}^{+}\left[0, p^{n}\right]\right)(m h) \\
-\left(Q_{h 2}^{+} T_{h}^{1}\left[M_{h 0}^{n-1}, M_{h 1}^{n-1}\right]\right)(m h)=\frac{1}{\mu}\left(Q_{h 2}^{+}\left[0, p^{n}\right]\right)(m h) \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

with $M_{h j}^{n-1}(m h)=\frac{\rho}{\mu}\left(u_{0}^{n-1}(m h) D_{h}^{-1} u_{j}^{n-1}(m h)+u_{1}^{n-1}(m h) D_{h}^{-2} u_{j}^{n-1}(m h)\right)-\frac{\rho}{\mu} f_{j}(m h)$ for $j \in\{0,1\}$ converges to the solution of the problem (3).

For the proof we refer to [8]. We remark, that in each step $n$ the approximate solution of (3) is expressed by the solution $\left(u^{n}, p^{n}\right)$ of a Stokes problem.

## 6. Potential- and Stream Functions

We consider now a special case of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations. We write the classical equations in the form

$$
\rho\left(u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)=f_{0}(x)+\mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{1}}
$$

$$
\rho\left(u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)=f_{1}(x)+\mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{2}}
$$

and approximate them by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(u_{0}^{l k} D_{h}^{1} u_{0}^{l k-1}+u_{1}^{l k} D_{h}^{2} u_{0}^{l k-1}\right)=f_{0}^{l k}+\mu\left(D_{h}^{-1} D_{h}^{1} u_{0}^{l k}+D_{h}^{-2} D_{h}^{2} u_{0}^{l k}\right)-D_{h}^{1} p^{l k}  \tag{4}\\
& \rho\left(u_{0}^{l k} D_{h}^{1} u_{1}^{l-1 k}+u_{1}^{l k} D_{h}^{2} u_{1}^{l-1 k}\right)=f_{1}^{l k}+\mu\left(D_{h}^{-1} D_{h}^{1} u_{1}^{l k}+D_{h}^{-2} D_{h}^{2} u_{1}^{l k}\right)-D_{h}^{2} p^{l k}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u_{i}^{l k}=u_{i}(l h, k h), \quad f_{i}^{l k}=f_{i}(l h, k h)$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$ and $\quad p^{l k}=p(l h, k h)$. We consider the special case $\mu=0$ as well as $f_{0}^{l k}=f_{1}^{l k}=0$ and eliminate the pressure in (4). From the equation $-D_{h}^{2}\left(u_{0}^{l k} D_{h}^{1} u_{0}^{l k-1}+u_{1}^{l k} D_{h}^{2} u_{0}^{l k-1}\right)+D_{h}^{1}\left(u_{0}^{l k} D_{h}^{1} u_{1}^{l-1 k}+u_{1}^{l k} D_{h}^{2} u_{1}^{l-1 k}\right)=0$ it follows

$$
-D_{h}^{2} u_{0}^{l k}\left(D_{h}^{1} u_{0}^{l k}+D_{h}^{2} u_{1}^{l k}\right)+D_{h}^{1} u_{1}^{l k}\left(D_{h}^{1} u_{0}^{l k}+D_{h}^{2} u_{1}^{l^{k k}}\right)+u_{0}^{l k} D_{h}^{1}\left(D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}^{l k}-D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}^{l k}\right)+u_{1}^{l k} D_{h}^{2}\left(D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}^{l k}-D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}^{l k}\right)=0,
$$

where $D_{h}^{1} u_{0}^{l k}+D_{h}^{2} u_{1}^{l k}=0$ approximates the continuity equation $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=0$ and $D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}^{l k}-D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}^{l k}=0$ approximate the equation $\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{2}}=0$. In the following we neglect the index $l k$ in order to simplify the notation. By using the ansatz $u_{0}=D_{h}^{-1} \Phi_{h}=D_{h}^{2} \Psi_{h}$ and $u_{1}=D_{h}^{-2} \Phi_{h}=-D_{h}^{1} \Psi_{h}$ we can prove the following properties of the discrete potential function $\Phi_{h}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}-D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}=D_{h}^{-1} D_{h}^{-2} \Phi_{h}-D_{h}^{-2} D_{h}^{-1} \Phi_{h}=0 \\
D_{h}^{1} u_{0}+D_{h}^{2} u_{1}=D_{h}^{1} D_{h}^{-1} \Phi_{h}+D_{h}^{2} D_{h}^{-2} \Phi_{h}=\Delta_{h} \Phi_{h}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the discrete stream function $\Psi_{h}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{h}^{-1} u_{1}-D_{h}^{-2} u_{0}=-D_{h}^{-1} D_{h}^{1} \Psi_{h}-D_{h}^{-2} D_{h}^{2} \Psi_{h}=-\Delta_{h} \Psi_{h}=0 \\
D_{h}^{1} u_{0}+D_{h}^{2} u_{1}=D_{h}^{1} D_{h}^{2} \Psi_{h}-D_{h}^{2} D_{h}^{1} \Psi_{h}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The above ansatz can be also written in the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}D_{h}^{-2} & D_{h}^{1} \\ -D_{h}^{-1} & D_{h}^{2}\end{array}\right)\binom{\Phi_{h}}{\Psi_{h}}=\binom{0}{0}$, such that the relation to the discrete Cauchy-Riemann operator becomes obviously.

At the end we present a numerical example. From the continuous case we know, that in case of a stream with the potential function $\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)$ the stream lines have the structure $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=$ const. We have calculated the solution of the following discrete problem in a square with the corners $(0,0),(1.5,0),(1.5,1.5)$ and $(0,1.5)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{h}^{-2} & D_{h}^{1} \\
-D_{h}^{-1} & D_{h}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\Phi_{h}(m h)}{\Psi_{h}(m h)}=\binom{0}{0} \quad \forall m h \in G_{h} \\
& \Phi_{h}(r h)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(r_{1} h\right)^{2}-\left(r_{2} h\right)^{2}\right) \quad \forall r h \in \gamma_{h}^{-} \\
& \Psi_{h}\left(m^{*} h\right)=\left(m_{1}^{*} h\right) \cdot\left(m_{2}^{*} h\right) \quad \text { with } \quad m_{1}^{*}=m_{2}^{*}=1 \quad \text { (in order to get uniqueness). }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the details we refer to [9]. The behaviour of the calculated stream lines is presented in the following picture:


Figure 1: Behaviour of the stream lines in the discrete case
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