VIBRISSA-BASED DESIGN OF TAPERED TACTILE SENSORS FOR OBJECT SENSING
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ABSTRACT

Numerous mammals possess whiskers (tactile hairs, also known as vibrissae) to explore their environment. These complex mechano-sensitive vibrissae are located, e.g. in the snout region (mystacial vibrissae). Because of the deformation of the vibrissa by contact with objects and obstacles, the animal gets additional information about the environment. Despite different morphology of animal vibrissae (e.g., cylindrically or conically shaped, pre-curved, multi-layer structure), these biological tactile hairs are modeled in a mechanical way to develop and analyze models concerning their bending behavior with a glance to get hints for a technical implementation as a technical sensor. At first, we investigate the bending behavior of cylindrically shaped and tapered rods which are one-sided clamped and are under the load of an external force, using the Euler-Bernoulli non-linear bending theory. Then, a quasi-static sweep of these rods along various obstacle profiles is used for an obstacle profile reconstruction procedure. While scanning the object, the clamping reactions are determined, which are the only observables an animal relies on in biology. In plotting these observables and using them in a reconstruction algorithm to determine the scanned contour, we try to identify special features in dependence on the different geometries of the rods. The clamping reactions tremendously depend on the form and position of the profile which is shown in several numerical simulations.

Index Terms — tactile sensor, bio-inspired sensor, animal vibrissa, tapered shape, object contour reconstruction.

1. INTRODUCTION

On the body of mammals a tactile sensory organ with incomparable abilities can be found — the so-called sinu
hairs. Despite existing differences regarding musculature (see Fig. 1-left) and localization, they are synonymously also known as vibrissae or whiskers [18]. Depending on their localization on the body [21] they are used for different tasks like object recognition [6], object discrimination [7, 8] and perception of flow [8, 30] as well as for social behavior [1, 15]. The hair shaft itself has no receptors along its length [9]. Following the concept of a biomechatronic system [27], it can be seen as injector and transmission for all tactile stimuli arising along the shaft. Different studies try to explain this transmission to the mechanoreceptors in the follicle (see Fig. 1-right) from a mechanical point of view [2, 10, 16, 17]. Therefore, exact descriptions of the geometry as well as material parameters of the hair shaft are necessary. Studies have shown that the hair shaft is a thin, long and pliable truncated cone with a high aspect ratio of length to diameter [4, 24, 29]. Especially the tapered structure (see Fig. 2) has an important role for object sensing [12, 26]. This assertion is the starting point of the paper at hand: analytical investigations of the tapered shape on the bending behavior of artificial sinus hairs for prototypes in context of object sensing – from the mechanical point of view.
In literature, there are several investigations concerning the bending behavior of rods – serving as models for tactile sensors:

- In early works [5, 14, 23], first (mathematical) models describing large deflections of Euler-Bernoulli rods were analyzed, without any concern to artificial sensors.

- Further works, focussing the bending behavior of rods in context to animal vibrissae, are [13, 19, 22], but with a restriction to cylindrical rods.

- A lot of other works focus the dynamical bending behavior of rods, e.g. see [16], more precisely, the investigation – primary in a numerical way – of the natural frequencies of straight rods.

- First works, analyzing the bending behavior of conically shaped rods in context of bio-inspired sensors are [17, 20, 28], but they are limited to multi-body systems or finite element models, which shall approximate the bending behavior of rods (have to be modeled as a continuum in mechanics).

Therefore, we focus on the analytical modeling of conically shaped rods in continuum mechanics. The paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 presents preliminary studies concerning the static bending behavior of tapered artificial sensors, whose information is then used for the object contour detection algorithm in Section 3. The paper ends up with a conclusion and an outlook on future work.

2. PRELIMINARY STATIC BENDING STUDIES

Starting point is the description of a plane curve (w.l.o.g., in $x$-$y$-plane) in natural coordinates – in dependence on the arc length $s$, parameterized by the slope angle $\varphi(s)$:
With a glance to Fig. 3, we are focusing on an one-sided clamped Euler-Bernoulli rod with boundary conditions at $s = 0$:

$$
\begin{align*}
    x(0) &= 0 \\
    y(0) &= 0 \\
    \phi(0) &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

Applying a static force load $F$ at $s = s_F$ under angle $\alpha$ to the undeformed rod, there are two possibilities of acting: direction preserving (dp) or angle preserving (ap) load, see Fig. 4.

Then, the corresponding bending moments w.r.t the z-axis are:

$$
M_{bz_{dp}}(s) = \begin{cases} 
    F \sin(\alpha) \left( x(s_F) - x(s) \right) - F \cos(\alpha) \left( y(s_F) - y(s) \right), & s \in (0, s_F) \\
    0, & s \in (s_F, L)
\end{cases}
$$

$$
M_{bz_{ap}}(s) = \begin{cases} 
    F \sin \left( \beta + \phi(s_F) \right) \left( x(s_F) - x(s) \right) - F \cos \left( \beta + \phi(s_F) \right) \left( y(s_F) - y(s) \right), & s \in (0, s_F) \\
    0, & s \in (s_F, L)
\end{cases}
$$

Now, we introduce the conicity of the rod, to have a well-defined set of equations (1). Following the remarks on the conicity in Section 1, we analyze a conically shaped rod with a linear decreasing diameter from base to tip [24].

\footnote{In the dp-case, the force has constant $x$- and $y$-coordinates in the undeformed and deformed state, whereas in ap-case the force has always the same angle $\beta$ to the tangent of the deformed rod.}
For this, we introduce the diameter function

\[
d(s) = d(0) - a \frac{d(0)}{L} s, \quad s \in [0, L], \quad \text{with} \quad a := 1 - \frac{d(L)}{d(0)},
\]

whereas \(a\) is now a design factor:

- \(a = 0 \Rightarrow d(L) = d(0)\), we have a cylindrical shape,
- \(a < 1 \Rightarrow d(L) > 0\), we have a truncated cone,
- \(a \approx 1 \Rightarrow d(L) \approx 0\), we have a hastate conical rod, see Fig. 5.
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Figure 5. Model of the rod with linearly changing diameter: hastate conical rod (top) and truncated cone (bottom).

**Remark 1.** It is easy to introduce such a function (5) for an inner diameter if the cone is hollow.

Then, the second moment of area becomes

\[
I_z(s) = \frac{\pi}{64} d(s)^4, \quad \text{for} \quad s \in [0, L].
\]

**Remark 2.** For upcoming investigations the following dimensioning is suitable. We introduce the units

\[
[length] := L, \quad [force] := \frac{E I_z(0)}{L^2}, \quad [moment] := \frac{E I_z(0)}{L},
\]

with \(L\) as the length, \(E\) as Young’s modulus, and \(I_z(0)\) as the second moment of area at \(s = 0\) of the rod. From now on, all quantities are represented dimensionless.

Using the units from Remark 2 and (6), there arise the following sets of equations:

**direction preserving-case:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{ds} &= \cos(\varphi_1(s)), \quad x_1(0) = 0 \\
\frac{dy_1}{ds} &= \sin(\varphi_1(s)), \quad y_1(0) = 0 \\
\frac{d\varphi_1}{ds} &= f \frac{\sin(\alpha) \left(x_1(s_F) - x_1(s)\right) - \cos(\alpha) \left(y_1(s_F) - y_1(s)\right)}{(1 - a s)^4}, \quad \varphi_1(0) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

for \(s \in [0, s_F]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_2}{ds} &= \cos(\varphi_2(s)), \quad x_2(s_F^-) = x_2(s_F^+) \\
\frac{dy_2}{ds} &= \sin(\varphi_2(s)), \quad y_2(s_F^-) = y_2(s_F^+) \\
\frac{d\varphi_2}{ds} &= 0, \quad \varphi_2(s_F^-) = \varphi_2(s_F^+)
\end{align*}
\]

for \(s \in (s_F, 1]\)
System (7) forms a 2-point boundary-value problem with two unknown quantities $x_1(s_F)$ and $y_1(s_F).

\[ \angle \text{preserving-case:} \]

for $s \in [0, s_F]$

\[
\frac{dx_1}{ds} = \cos \left( \varphi_1(s) \right), \quad x_1(0) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{dy_1}{ds} = \sin \left( \varphi_1(s) \right), \quad y_1(0) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{d\varphi_1}{ds} = f \frac{\sin \left( \beta + \varphi_1(s_F) \right) \left( x_1(s_F) - x_1(s) \right) - \cos \left( \beta + \varphi_1(s_F) \right) \left( y_1(s_F) - y_1(s) \right)}{(1 - a s)^4}, \quad \varphi_1(0) = 0
\]

for $s \in (s_F, 1]$

\[
\frac{dx_2}{ds} = \cos \left( \varphi_2(s) \right), \quad x_2(s_F-) = x_2(s_F+)
\]

\[
\frac{dy_2}{ds} = \sin \left( \varphi_2(s) \right), \quad y_2(s_F-) = y_2(s_F+)
\]

\[
\frac{d\varphi_2}{ds} = 0, \quad \varphi_2(s_F-) = \varphi_2(s_F+)
\]

System (8) forms a 2-point boundary-value problem with three unknown quantities $x_1(s_F)$, $y_1(s_F)$ and $\varphi_1(s_F)$. The systems (7) and (8) are now solved numerically using shooting methods to determine the unknown quantities. Elaborate parameter studies are performed to determine the bending behavior of the rod in dependence on several parameters, e.g. load $f$, point of application $s_F$, diameter ratio $\frac{d(1)}{d(0)}$. Exemplarily, the influence of the load $f$ on the bending behavior is shown in Fig. 6.

\[ \text{Figure 6. Deformed conically shaped rods (dp- and ap-case) in dependence on load } f \text{ (using: } a = 0.3, s_F = 7/8 \text{ and } \alpha, \beta = 3/2\pi) \]

The larger the load, the larger the deflection of the rod, more distinct in the ap-case than in the dp-one. The dependence on the tapering ratio is shown in Fig. 7. Here, we plot the tip displacement of the rod after applying several loads in dependence on the tapering ratio $\frac{d(1)}{d(0)}$. 
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The smaller this ratio, the larger is the tip displacement – in both cases, whereas the dp-case is more related to application in form of object contour detection, which is addressed to the next section.

3. OBJECT CONTOUR DETECTION

After the preliminary studies in Section 2 where the applied force $\vec{F}$ or $f$, resp., is known, we now switch to an important application of sinus hair-like tactile sensors: object contour detection. For this, we proceed with a modeling section before presenting numerical investigations of the sensing behavior in dependence on the tapering ratio.

3.1. Modeling

Let us consider an one-sided clamped Euler-Bernoulli rod as a mechanical model for object contour/shape detection, as depicted in Fig. 8.

Let us state the following assertion and assumptions:

Figure 7. Tip displacement $c$ (using Euclidean norm) of the initial and deformed state of a rod in dependence on $d(1)/d(0)$ for the dp-case (left) and the ap-one (right).

Figure 8. Deformed sinus hair during object sensing.
• The considered object is assumed to be rigid, has a plane boundary \((\xi(\alpha), \eta(\alpha))\), represented by a strictly convex function and is parameterized by its slope angle \(\alpha \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\).

• The artificial sinus hair/rod is moved from the right to left along a horizontal straight line, i.e., we have a moving clamping support\(^2\) at \(y = 0\) in negative \(x\)-direction.

• The translational velocity is nearly zero, i.e., we can consider the problem quasi-statically.

• Due to a contact of the rod to the object, the arising bending of the sinus hair takes place, w.l.o.g., in the \(x\)-\(y\)-plane.

• The deformation of the rod is linear elastically due to Hooke’s law of elasticity.

• The contact (between rod and object at \(s_1\)) is assumed to be ideal, i.e., the arising contact force is perpendicular to the profile tangent. Furtheron, we do not take any frictional effects into account.

• The strict convexity of the profile results in the existing of only one contact point at each position of the sinus hair.

### 3.2. Step 1: Generation of Observables

This step can be skipped if we replace it with an experimental setup and measurements. But, obviously, we will get no analytical insight, therefore, we try to handle this step in an analytical way. The general task here is to determine the clamping reactions for a reconstruction – the quantities an animal solely relies on in nature. Once again, the starting point is system (1) with diameter (5) and second moment of area (6). The bending moment arises from Fig. 8:

\[
m_{bz}(s) = \begin{cases} 
 f \left[ (\eta(\alpha) - y(s)) \sin(\alpha) + (\xi(\alpha) - x(s)) \cos(\alpha) \right], & s \in (0, s_1) \\
 0, & s \in (s_1, 1)
\end{cases}
\]  

Before solving the arising equations, we have to point out, that there exist two contact scenarios of the rod with the object, see Fig. 9:

• Phase A: rod tip contact with the object – no information about \(\varphi(s_1) = \varphi(1)\), only \(s_1 = 1\);

• Phase B: tangentially contact of the rod with the object at \(s_1 < 1\) with \(\varphi(s_1) = \alpha\).

![Figure 9. Phases during object sensing (grey - Phase B, and black - Phase A)](image)

Using the dimensioning procedure of Section 2, there arise two systems – not depending on the kind of the preserved quantity, here, depending on the contact phase.

\(^2\)Although we know that there is a certain elasticity at the support of the sinus hair, we assume a clamping for the first investigations. The elasticity is addressed to further studies.
Remark 3. Due to the fact, that $s_1$ is unknown, but this is the only point defining the set of equations in Phase B, we introduce a new parameter for the rod length in this case:

$$s = \tau s_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

This will lead to the following two sets of 2-point boundary-value problems with unknown quantities:

- **Phase B**

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dx(\tau)}{d\tau} &= s_1 \cos (\varphi(\tau)) \\
\frac{dy(\tau)}{d\tau} &= s_1 \sin (\varphi(\tau)) \\
\frac{d\varphi(\tau)}{d\tau} &= s_1 \kappa(\tau)
\end{align*}$$

$$\kappa(\tau) = \begin{cases} f \left( \eta(\alpha)-y(\tau) \right) \sin(\alpha)+\left( \xi(\alpha)-x(\tau) \right) \cos(\alpha) \\ (1-a_1s_1) \end{cases}, \text{ for } \tau \in [0, 1]$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{align*}
y(0) &= 0 & \varphi(1) &= \alpha & x(1) &= \xi(\alpha) \\
\varphi(0) &= \frac{\pi}{2} & y(1) &= \eta(\alpha)
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

- **Phase A** (with $s_1 = 1$)

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dx(\tau)}{d\tau} &= \cos (\varphi(\tau)) \\
\frac{dy(\tau)}{d\tau} &= \sin (\varphi(\tau)) \\
\frac{d\varphi(\tau)}{d\tau} &= \kappa(\tau)
\end{align*}$$

$$\kappa(\tau) = \frac{f \left( \eta(\alpha)-y(\tau) \right) \sin(\alpha)+\left( \xi(\alpha)-x(\tau) \right) \cos(\alpha)}{(1-a_1)}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{align*}
y(0) &= 0 & x(1) &= \xi(\alpha) \\
\varphi(0) &= \frac{\pi}{2} & y(1) &= \eta(\alpha)
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

In applying shooting methods to these systems, we are able to determine the unknown quantities $f$ and $s_1$.  

**Remark 4.** As in Section 1, the animal solely relies on the information of the mechanoreceptor cells in the follicle-sinus complex. Hence, we have to determine the clamping reactions for a reconstruction, although we have determined $s_1$.

Following up, we get the clamping reactions:

$$f_{Ax} = -f \sin(\alpha), \quad f_{Ay} = f \cos(\alpha), \quad m_{Az} = -m_{bz}(0+)$$

If we now move the base support by an increment $\Delta x_0$ to the right, i.e., we are changing the actual position $x_0$ (or changing the consideration to the slope angle $\alpha$) the complete calculation starts again (a loop), which results in functions:

$$f_{Ax} = f_{Ax}(x_0), \quad f_{Ay} = f_{Ay}(x_0), \quad m_{Az} = m_{Az}(x_0)$$

Exemplarily, Fig. 10 presents the clamping reactions while scanning a convex profile $x \mapsto g(x) = x^2 + 0.3$ with an artificial vibrissa using various tapering ratios. Having a closer look to the subfigures of Fig. 10, one can observe two facts:

- At first, no information about the profile contour can be extracted from the clamping reactions, but
- for smaller tapering ratios $d(1)_{DM}$, i.e., higher design factors $\alpha$, remind (5), all clamping reactions can be decreased – up to limits of measurements.

The first assertion yields the claim for a reconstruction algorithm.
3.3. Step 2: Contour Reconstruction

Now, we have the following quantities at hand:

- the clamping reactions from Step 1 or measured in experiments, and
- the base position $x_0$.

Using these quantities, we are able to determine

$$f = \sqrt{f_{Ax}^2 + f_{Ay}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \arctan\left(-\frac{f_{Ax}}{f_{Ay}}\right)$$

which were used in the previous sets of equations.

The main idea now is, contrary to Step 1 in solving a boundary-value problem with unknowns, to solve an initial-value problem. This is possible, since the bending moment is fully known using a positive cut applying method of sections, see Fig. 11:

$$m_{bz}(s) = -m_{Az} + f_{Ay} \left(x(s) - x_0\right) - f_{Ax} \ y(s). \quad (15)$$

The initial-value problem – to be solved until $m_{bz} = 0$ – is now:

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dx(s)}{ds} &= \cos\left(\varphi(s)\right) \quad , \quad x(0) = x_0 \\
\frac{dy(s)}{ds} &= \sin\left(\varphi(s)\right) \quad , \quad y(0) = 0 \\
\frac{d\varphi(s)}{ds} &= \kappa(s) = -m_{Az} + f_{Ay} \left(x(s) - x_0\right) - f_{Ax} \ y(s) \quad , \quad \varphi(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{align*} \quad (16)$$

Figure 10. Clamping reactions $f_{Ax}$ (top left), $f_{Ay}$ (top right), moment $m_{Az}$ (bottom) during object sensing using a parabola profile $g(x) = x^2 + 0.3$ for different vibrissa tapering ratios.
3.4. Numerical Simulation

Here, we sense an object with a profile boundary mentioned before \( g(x) = x^2 + 0.3 \), using several tapering ratios, see Fig. 12.

Figure 12. Deformed vibrissae while scanning the profile boundary \( g(x) = x^2 + 0.3 \) using several tapering ratios \( d_{\text{al}}(1)/d_{\text{al}}(0) \) (red - contacting in Phase B, blue - contacting in Phase A).
To judge the quality of reconstruction we introduce a reconstruction error between actual point of the profile contour and the reconstructed one, according to [25]:

\[
\text{error} = \sqrt{\left( x(s_1) - \xi(\alpha) \right)^2 + \left( y(s_1) - \eta(\alpha) \right)^2}.
\]

For our simulation results, we get the error displayed in Fig. 13.

![Figure 13. Reconstruction error in numerical simulations.](image)

For larger tapering ratios we get higher reconstruction error, but the errors for the used tapering ratios are of order \(10^{-6}\).

### 4. CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK

Bionic researches of sensors and actuators pursue the objective to use the advantages and properties of the natural vibrissa for technical innovations. For this, a detailed knowledge about the bending behavior is essential. This paper was devoted to the analytical investigation of sinus hair-like tactile sensors using Euler-Bernoulli rods which exhibit a conical shape. The investigations were inspired by the natural geometrical shape of the biological paradigm. Most papers in literature focused on cylindrically shaped rods for object contour detection or use approximation models as multi-body and/or finite element ones. Therefore, we investigated the bending behavior of a one-sided clamped cylindrical sinus hair that was then extended to a conical shape (here, we focused on a linearly decreasing diameter from tip to base). Based on the models, the corresponding differential equations were derived. The static bending behavior depending on different geometry parameters was analyzed and discussed. The simulation results showed a strong impact of the conicity of a sinus hair on the bending behavior in comparison with cylindrical ones. Then, a model to simulate the object scanning by using a technical sinus hair was expanded to a conical geometry. In this context, the observables and the relative error for the reconstructed profile were calculated and analyzed. At this point, observables are the support reactions at the clamp, which could be measured in experiments. The results showed that a conical geometry has a large impact on the generated observables. In contrast, the relative error of the reconstructed profile is hardly changed.

Future work shall encompass

- investigations of the bending behavior with respect to other conical shapes: exponentially changing diameter, hollow profiles;
- incorporation of friction during contact;
- extending the sensing model to a rotational movement of the vibrissa instead of a translational movement of the support, which is then more realistic to nature.
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