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ABSTRACT

The automatic generation of land use / land cover information from multimodal satellite image data is a high challenging task. Due to the high temporal variability of several phenological and biological parameters between different vegetation types, a multi-temporal investigation arise better discernibility among various classes. Furthermore, using the information of various modalities in a synergetic manner the quality of a land-use classification will be increased substantially.

Only related to the classification step the challenge results from complex shaped class regions in feature spaces of high dimension. The needed classification algorithm should allow both a high degree of freedom to describe the classes or the inter class separation in the feature domain and a sufficient generalize property. The last mentioned is because of the limited amount of training samples per class that are obtainable.

The approach, presented in this paper, is based on a per-segment classification. A suitable classification method is closely connected with an efficient and powerful algorithm for the foregoing segmentation. Those segmentation and classification methods are components of an automated processing-pipeline, which will be developed inside the project ENVILAND-2\(^1\). The interim results, arises from that project, where presented and discussed.

Index Terms - segment-based classification, multimodal, multi-temporal, land-use classification

1 ENVILAND2 is funded by the German Aerospace Centre and the Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (of Germany)
FKZ 50 EE 0844 -- 50 EE 0847

1. INTRODUCTION

Apart from the automated land-use-classification, the automated change-detection is also an important goal of the project Enviland-2. The developed processing-pipeline covers all necessary steps (from raw data preprocessing of all sensor modalities, e.g. geocoding, registration, restoration, until the more application dependent segmentation and classification).

The required precision may be increased significantly by using a segment-based classification. This is because of the integral features that are the basis of the classification, which reduces the influence of several disturbances, on the one hand. On the other hand, information leaks may be countervailed by the synergetic usage of multi-modal and multi-temporal remote sensing data (i.e. SAR and optical data). In particular, the soil humidity is a good example, since this important feature is only inferable from SAR-data.

Additionally, the fundamental multi-channel processing concept allows the processing of time series too. This will be used to identify different kinds of field crops or other vegetation types, by multiple observations during their growing period.

The multi-channel segmentation step just produces an over-segmented map, which shows concatenated areas, characterized by low variance over all channels of the used image stack [6]. Later on, the classification associates those homogeneous areas with specific classes.
2. STRUCTURE OF CLASSIFICATION

According to practical oriented investigations and evaluation\(^2\) of methods and structures on land-use-classification [7], a generic classification concept originated. Furthermore, an conceptual approach to a solution for selection and parametrisation of important classification-methods (Mahalanobis, Mixed-Distribution, K-Nearest-Neighbour, Support-Vector-Machine (SVM) [3][4], partitional cluster analysis) was created. At present, a segment-based SVM-classification scheme will be used.

Figure 1 principle of teaching

An registered image stack (beside appropriate optional mask images) and a segment-label-image will feed into those classification. This image stack may consist of images from several satellites, sensors and recording times and is generated in the processing-stages registration [8] and segmentation [6]. Differences in resolution will be equalized by appropriate resampling methods.

Besides, the classifier has to be trained and therefore a teaching data set is required. In fact, this data set consists of a label-image, which maps certain regions to its corresponding classes. This teaching datasets are still being defined by ground-truth-maps generated by land register datasets from administrative offices, field inspections or image-interpretation by experts. However, it is intended to derive the teaching data set by an automated approach using unsupervised classification methods.

An optional preprocessing may be used as a first stage in order to perform a additional noise- and speckle-reduction. Beside established methods (i.e Kuan, Lee, Enhanced Lee, ...), also geometric filtering [1] and some methods, refined by the ZBS (i.e. adapted anisotropic diffusion filtering (AD), based on [5]), can be applied.

After this, numerical segment-features will be extracted. Thus in the simplest case it originates a vector per segment, with each component representing the mean intensity value of the specific channel within the segment.

All these numerical segment-features are the input of the classifier [3][4][7].

Figure 2 principle of verification

3. EVALUATION RATIO

To compare and evaluate the used classification methods and approaches a comparison between the ground-truth-map and the classification-results (referring to the segment map and the image stack) will be done. In order to perform objective comparisons, the quality measures Overall Accuracy (OA) and KAPPA Accuracy (KHAT) are used

\(^2\) using the VIP-Toolkit (product of ZBS e.V.)
Both measures based on a N-Class-Confusion-Matrix \( H \) (Equation 5). To ensure uniqueness, below it is assumed that the confusion matrix \( H \) is just made up of segments, which cover a specific class (in the ground-truth-map) with at least 80% area rate.

\[
OA = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{i,j}}{S}, \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{i,j}
\]

\( OA_{\text{opt}} \rightarrow 1 \)

Equation 1 Overall Accuracy (\( S=\text{count of data-samples} \))

While OA represents the whole classification accuracy with respect to correct class-assignments only, KHAT describes the deterministic connection between classification-result and reference-data. The latter depends on the Class Probability (CP) and the Decision Probability (DP).

\[
KHAT = \frac{OA - \sum_{i=1}^{N} DP_{i} \cdot CP_{i}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} DP_{i} \cdot CP_{i}}
\]

Equation 2 KAPPA Accuracy

\[
CP_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{i,j}}{S}, \quad CP_{j} \in [0,1] \forall j
\]

Equation 3 Probability of occurrence of class \( j \) in test-data-set

\[
DP_{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{i,j}}{S}, \quad DP_{i} \in [0,1] \forall i
\]

Equation 4 Probability of classifier-decision to class \( i \)

\[
H = \{H_{i,j} = H(i \mid j)\}
\]

Equation 5 Confusion-Matrix \( H \); \( N=\text{class complexity} \); classifier-decision \( i \in [1,N] \); reference \( j \in [1,N] \)

\( OA \) as well as \( KHAT \) relates to one specific pre-classified test-data-set, which consist of \( S \) nearly equal sized segments. So they are suitable for comparisons, while using the same test-data-set.

Because of various land-use-regions disintegrates into segments of different size due to the specific inner class inhomogeneity, the evaluation of classification-results should also regard to the ratio of segment-to-total-segments-size. If not, the result of the overall accuracy evaluation will be dominated by the influence of small regions (especially in urban areas). Therefore, \( OA \) and \( KHAT \) will be presented in both ways area-weighted and not weighted.

That ensures comparability between a segment-based and a pixel-based classification strategy.

4. EXAMPLES

The functionality will now be demonstrated using the following examples. All of them classify the scenes with the 9 classes listed in Table 1. The study area is located near Nordhausen in northern Thuringia, Germany. The data basis is represented by an image-stack consisting of 5 channels of one RapidEye-scene and 6 Terra-SAR-X-scenes (with 2 polarizations each).

All TerraSAR-X-channels were preprocessed by geometric filtering [1]. Features being classified are mean segment-specific spectral values of the actual channel. Invalid areas, e.g. disturbed by clouds in the optical scenes, are wiped out by manual masking. (This manual masking will be replaced by an automatic algorithm during the project.)

Because of various land-use-regions disintegrates into segments of different size due to the specific inner class inhomogeneity, the evaluation of classification-results should also regard to the ratio of segment-to-total-segments-size. If not, the result of the overall accuracy evaluation will be dominated by the influence of small regions (especially in urban areas). Therefore, \( OA \) and \( KHAT \) will be presented in both ways area-weighted and not weighted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>legend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>urban area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>coniferous forest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>water / wetland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>deciduous forest, mixed forest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>grassland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>summer cereal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>rapeseed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>maize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>root crops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 list of all classes with legend and column/row-index of confusion-matrix \( H \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>date of data acquisition</th>
<th>instrument</th>
<th>channel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.05.2009</td>
<td>Rapid-Eye 1</td>
<td>(440-510 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.05.2009</td>
<td>Rapid-Eye 2</td>
<td>(520-590 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.05.2009</td>
<td>Rapid-Eye 3</td>
<td>(630-685 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.05.2009</td>
<td>Rapid-Eye 4</td>
<td>(690-730 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.05.2009</td>
<td>Rapid-Eye 5</td>
<td>(760-850 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.07.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-VV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.07.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.06.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.06.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.03.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.03.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.06.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.06.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.07.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.07.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.04.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.04.2009</td>
<td>TerraSAR-X SM-HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 overview of used scenes
4.1. multimodal and multi-temporal segment-based classification

Due to time constraints, only the 8000 largest segments are processed by SVM-classification. The comparison between classification-result and ground-truth-map results in the following confusion-matrix:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
2155 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 94 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 47 & 1 & 11 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
11 & 65 & 0 & 774 & 34 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
12 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 150 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 13 & 568 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 159 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 113 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 34
\end{array}
\]

\[H=\]

The derived OA and KHAT-measures are:

\[\text{OA (normal / area weighted)} = 0.93 / 0.94\]
\[\text{KHAT (normal / area weighted)} = 0.90 / 0.93\]

4.2. multi-temporal segment-based classification

Due to time constraints, only the 8000 largest segments are processed by SVM-classification. The SVM-classification under consideration of the multi-temporal SAR data only results in the following confusion-matrix:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
2032 & 32 & 6 & 39 & 124 & 9 & 1 & 0 \\
17 & 32 & 0 & 4 & 19 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
43 & 54 & 0 & 745 & 17 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\
16 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 130 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 545 & 0 & 0 \\
32 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 158 & 0 \\
22 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 0 & 113 \\
23 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 36
\end{array}
\]

Figure 3 segment-image, each segment is colorized individually (clouds are wiped out manually)

Figure 4 Reference-map (ground-truth) over TS-X-scene (legend: see Table 1)
underlying TS-X-scene: © DLR, 2009

Figure 5 Result of segment-based SVM-classification on TS-X and RE
underlying TS-X-scene: © DLR, 2009
Its corresponding quality-measures are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OA (normal / area weighted)} & = 0.88 / 0.91 \\
\text{KHAT (normal / area weighted)} & = 0.83 / 0.89
\end{align*}
\]

4.3. multimodal and multi-temporal pixel-based classification

The pixel-based SVM-classification was processed based on the multi-modal and multi-temporal image stack mentioned above. All valid (unmasked) pixels with counterpart in the ground-truth-map were respected by evaluation. This results in the following confusion-matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
59637 & 5988 & 12525 & 7833 & 10922 & 3107 & 2445 & 1328 \\
1366 & 36037 & 12254 & 1554 & 53 & 1 & 0 & 6 \\
688 & 164 & 11053 & 14 & 5 & 1080 & 0 & 0 \\
45774 & 4401 & 286 & 490458 & 22823 & 3388 & 30315 & 214 \\
16466 & 126 & 453 & 400 & 143899 & 3977 & 323 & 37 \\
5093 & 22 & 36 & 367 & 7331 & 977100 & 520 & 11 \\
2553 & 101 & 0 & 954 & 1669 & 2422 & 376802 & 830 \\
5813 & 149 & 989 & 8 & 14773 & 1304 & 88 & 87062 \\
2725 & 11 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 8 & 1474 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The following measures can be derived from it:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OA (normal / area weighted)} & = 0.89 \\
\text{KHAT (normal / area weighted)} & = 0.86
\end{align*}
\]

5. DISCUSSION

A precise analysis using the quality-measures mentioned above shows that the segment-based concept for segmentation and classification works well, albeit class-pair-specific deficits exists (i.e. urban area and grassland or deciduous forest, mixed forest and coniferous forest; see all experiments in chapter 4). This may be clarified by the unequal representation of several landcover-classes in the scene (deciduous forest, mixed forest=18.2% versus coniferous forest=2.7% plus grassland=7% versus urban area=50.6%) as well as the interpenetration of classes in feature domain. Furthermore the amount of scenes of the temporal data set isn’t optimal adapted to the vegetation classes in the case under consideration.

In the examples presented here, an increase in quality (OA: around 3 to 5%; KHAT: around 4 to 7%) was achieved in the case of multimodal classification. Due to the lack of sufficiently cloud-free optical scenes, the effect of multi-temporal processing of Rapid-Eye-scenes can’t be tested. With regard to the phenomenological differences in the backscattering-behavior within the vegetation period this should be evaluated in future.

Compared to the segment-based-approach, the pixel-based classification yield in slightly worse results. Some plots are very conspicuous, whose pixels assigned to different classes (i.e. rapeseed to deciduous forest, mixed forest). This shows the integrative averaging property of the segment-based approach.
6. CONCLUSION

The results presented here, shows the benefit of a segment-based multimodal and multi-temporal SVM-classification compared to a pixel-based approach. Furthermore, it was shown, that processing with just one single modality (in this case: TS-X), results in loss of classification-accuracy (see chapter 4.2). The reason for this is the use of synergies while multimodal processing.

A further optimization may be achieved by the use of training sets from multiple scenes for teaching the classificator.
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